

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/807,074 | 03/23/2004 | William F. Seng | 90065.001090/8018.00 | 2649 |
| 7590 07/31/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Thomas R. FitzGerald, Esq. | | | ANDUJAR, LEONARDO | |
| Suite 210 16 E. Main Street | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Rochester, NY 14614-1803 | | | 2826 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | A1:4(a) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Office Assists Commence | 10/807,074 | SENG ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Leonardo Andújar | 2826 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 M | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2006. | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| |) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ea. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Do | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/04</u> . | 6) Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I (claims 9-15) in the reply filed on 05/02/2006 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Initially, and with respect to claims 9, 14 and 15, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made. See <u>In re Thorpe et al.</u>, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) and the related case law cited therein which makes it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. As stated in Thorpe, even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of

Art Unit: 2826

patentability is based on the product itself. In re Brown, 459 F.2d 531, 535, 173 USPQ 685, 688 (CCPA 1972); In re Pilkington, 411 F.2d 1345, 1348, 162 USPQ 145, 147 (CCPA 1969); Buono v. Yankee Maid Dress Corp., 77 F.2d 274, 279, 26 USPQ 57, 61 (2d. Cir. 1935). Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear.

- 5. Claims 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. ∋ 102(b) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. ∋ 103(a) as obvious over Glass (US 5,323,022).
- 6. Regarding claims 9-11 and 13, Glass teaches a semiconductor device (e.g. fig. 1) comprising a wide band gap layer 10/12 of semiconductor material (e.g. silicon carbide which is has a band gap of approximately two electron, inherent property of the material); a layer of metal 11(e.g. nickel) disposed on at least a portion of the wide band gap layer; and a substantially ohmic contact region (col. 4/lls. 8-30 & col. 8/lls. 36-40). As to the grounds of rejection under section 103(a), the method for making the contact region by annealing the semiconductor device at an annealing temperature less than approximately 900 Celsius for an annealing temperature of greater than approximately two hours, is an intermediate process step that does not affect the structure of the final device. See MPEP \ni 2113 which discusses the handling of "product by process" claims and recommends the alternative (\ni 102 / \ni 103) grounds of rejection.
- 7. Regarding claim 12, Glass teaches that the semiconductor material include n type silicon carbide (col. 4/lls. 8-15).
- 8. Regarding claim 14, the method for making the contact region by annealing the semiconductor device at an annealing temperature less than approximately 850 Celsius

Art Unit: 2826

for an annealing temperature of greater than approximately three hours, is an intermediate process step that does not affect the structure of the final device. See MPEP \Rightarrow 2113 which discusses the handling of "product by process" claims and recommends the alternative (\Rightarrow 102 / \Rightarrow 103) grounds of rejection.

9. Regarding claim 15, the method for making the contact region by annealing the semiconductor device at an annealing temperature less than approximately 800 Celsius for an annealing temperature of greater than approximately four hours, is an intermediate process step that does not affect the structure of the final device. See MPEP \Rightarrow 2113 that discusses the handling of "product by process" claims and recommends the alternative (\Rightarrow 102 / \Rightarrow 103) grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonardo Andújar whose telephone number is 571-272-1912. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon through Thu from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leonardo Andújar Primary Examine

Art Unit 2826

07/14/2006